Interview Summary

Application No. 09/300,612

Applicant(s)

LIPPS ET AL

Examiner

Padma Baskar

Group Art Unit 1645

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): |
|---|
| (1) Padma Baskar (3) |
| (2) John Casperson (4) |
| Date of InterviewOct 23, 2000 |
| Туре: ဤTelephonic [Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 126. If yes, brief description: |
| Agreement was reached. Was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: |
| Identification of prior art discussed: |
| new search for further consideration. |
| |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) |
| 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. |
| Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. |
| |
| Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action. |

1

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/300,612

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Padma Baskar

1645

LIPPS ET AL



| | Transport and tr |
|---|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F | PTO personnel): |
| (1) Padma Baskar | (3) |
| (2) John Casperson | (4) |
| Date of Interview Oct 23, 2000 | |
| Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to | applicant applicant's representative). |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: | 186. If yes, brief description: |
| | |
| Agreement | • |
| Claim(s) discussed: | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | |
| | |
| | e amendment after final will not be entered because it would require a |
| | |
| | mendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render to copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable |
| 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se | eparate record of the substance of the interview. |
| OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLU | cate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST IDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section eady been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. |
| each of the objections, rejections and requirer claims are now allowable, this completed form | we (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to ments that may be present in the last Office action, and since the n is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above |
| | |
| iner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an | attachment to a signed Office action. |